



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 757/2025 & I.A. 17948/2025**
OPTUM GLOBAL SOLUTIONS (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Padmaja Kaul, Mr. Himansu Vij
& Mr. Vansh Bhutani, Advocates.

Versus

GO DADDY.COM, LLC & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Nikhil Gupta, Mr. Mrinal & Mr.
D. Dutta, Advocates for D-1.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Mr. Saurabh
Kumar & Ms. Simran Jain, Advocates
for D-6.
Mr. Akshay Puri, Advocate for D-7.
Mr. Akhilesh & Mr. Pranjal
Shrivastava, Advocates for D-9.
Mr. Mayank Mikhail Mukherjee,
Advocate for D-22/NPCI.
Mr. Nishant Gautam, Mr. Prithviraj,
Ms. Kavya Shukla & Ms. Srijita,
Advocates for D-23&24.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

13.11.2025

1. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that pursuant to the order dated 01.08.2025, most of the directions have been complied with by the respective Defendants. She, however, submits that directions issued to Defendant No. 22 / NPCI contained in Paragraph No.48 (h) of the order dated 01.08.2025 are yet to be complied with.
2. The learned Counsel for Defendant No. 22 submits that directions contained in Paragraph No. 48(h) of the order dated 01.08.2025 against Defendant No. 22 are not possible to be complied with as Defendant No. 22



does not undertake KYC exercise and Defendant No. 22 is only a clearing system which provides for architecture for UPI services and, therefore, Defendant No. 22 does not possess the details of the UPI IDs such as name, address and other details pertaining to the persons / entities, who have registered such accounts. He further submits that the relevant KYC details would be available with the Banks providing the UPI services.

3. In view of the above, Defendant No. 22 is deleted from the array of Parties. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff shall file the Amended Memo of Parties before the next date of hearing.

4. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that Plaintiff shall take appropriate steps to seek directions against the relevant Banks for providing the KYC details of the bank accounts / UPI IDs mentioned in Paragraph 48(h) of the order dated 01.08.2025 by filing an appropriate application.

5. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff also submits that as regards the domain name pharmabharat.com is concerned, Defendant No. 1 has informed the Plaintiff that Defendant No. 1 is no longer the Domain Name Registrar for the said domain name. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that upon further investigation it is learned by the Plaintiff that the said domain name is registered with the Domain Name Registrar- Hostinger.

6. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that Plaintiff shall take steps for impleading the said Domain Name Registrar by filing an appropriate application.

7. The learned Counsel for Defendant No. 1 submits that Defendant No. 1 has complied with the directions contained in the order dated 01.08.2025 and no further directions are required to be passed against Defendant No.1.

8. The learned Counsel for Defendant Nos. 6 and 7 submit that Defendant



Nos. 6 and 7 have also complied with the directions passed against them in the order dated 01.08.2025.

9. The learned Counsel for Defendant No. 9 submits that Defendant No. 9 has also complied with the directions contained in Paragraph No. 48(g) the order dated 01.08.2025 and has also filed an Affidavit of Compliance in this regard.

10. The learned CGSC appearing for Defendant No. 23 also submits that Defendant No. 23 has issued the necessary Notification / directions in compliance of the order dated 01.08.2025 and has complied with the directions contained therein.

11. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff confirms the same.

12. List before the Joint Registrar (Judicial) on 12.01.2026, the date already fixed for admission / denial of documents and for marking of exhibits.

13. Interim orders, if any, to continue.

TEJAS KARIA, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025

‘gsr’