

\$~10



* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 757/2025**
OPTUM GLOBAL SOLUTIONS (INDIA) PRIVATE
LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Dayan Krishnan, Mr.
Dheeraj Nair, Ms. Padmaja
Kaul, Mr. Sukrit Seth, Mr.
Himanshu Vij, Advs.

versus

GO DADDY.COM, LLC & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Yogesh Singh, Mr.
Arjun Mookerjee & Mr.
Nikhil Gupta, Advs. for D-1.
Mr. Saurabh Kumar, Ms.
Rose Maria Sebi & Mr.
Saksham Chaturvedi, Advs.
for D-6.
Mr. Akshay Puri, Adv. for
D-7.
Mr. Nishant Gautam
(CGSC), Mr. Prithvi Raj
Dey, Mr. Shaurya
Manipandey & Ms. Srijita
Koley, Advs. for Ministry of
Info. Tech.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)

ORDER

06.10.2025

%

The defendant no. 6, 23 & 24 accepted summons in the
Hon'ble Court. (*Refer: order dated 01.08.2025*)

Compliance-affidavit u/o 39 Rule 3 has been filed by
plaintiff, vide diary no. 7118938/2025. Same be taken on record.

Fresh vakalatnama has been filed by defendant no. 6, vide
diary no. 5975438/2025. Same be taken on record.



Compliance-affidavit has been filed by defendant no. 6, vide diary no. 6488158/2025. Same be taken on record but in the official noting it has been shown that it has been filed by defendant no. 1. **Accordingly, the registry is requested to do the necessary change.**

As per service report, defendant no. 1 to 4 were unserved.

The defendant no. 8 was served on 18.09.2025 via speed posts.

Service-affidavit has been filed by the plaintiff, vide diary no. 7156278/2025 and as per same, the defendant no. 5 has been served through speed posts on 19.09.2025; defendant no. 7 has been served through speed posts on 20.09.2025; defendant no. 8 has been served through speed posts on 18.09.2025; defendant no. 9 has been served through speed posts on 24.09.2025; defendant no. 18 has been served through speed posts on 25.09.2025; defendant no. 22 has been served through speed posts on 19.09.2025; defendant no. 23 has been served through speed posts on 18.09.2025; defendant no. 24 & 25 have been served through speed posts on 18.09.2025.

The plaintiff has not filed the date of service of these defendants in tabulated form. He is directed to file the same in tabulated form alongwith fresh service-affidavit.

All the defendants have also been served through emails on 02.09.2025 as per service-affidavit of the plaintiff.

The defendant nos. 10 to 17 & 19 to 21 are John Doe defendants.

Upon filing of the written statement, then replication be filed in accordance with law.

The parties are also directed to file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of the documents.

Parties are also directed to inspect and flag their documents



before the date of admission/denial.

The defendant is also at liberty to file the additional affidavit of admission/denial, if any document is filed alongwith the replication.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **12.01.2026**.

IA No. 17948/2025 (u/o 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

None of the defendants has filed the reply to the said IA.

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 6, 2025/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)