



\$~2 to 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13858/2019**

JAI KUMAR

.....Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

.....Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 999/2020**

MAHESH CHANDRA PATHAK

.....Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 13884/2019**

PARVEEN KUMAR SURI

.....Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

Mr. Naresh Kumar Handa, Advocate for petitioners in Item Nos. 2 to 4.

Mr. Vivek Goyal, CGSC with Mr. Gokul Sharma, Advocate for UoI in Item No. 2.

Mr. Puneet Taneja, Senior Advocate with Mr. Manmohan Singh Narula, Mr. Anil Kumar and Mr. Amit Yadav, Advocates for R-2/Syndicate Bank in Item Nos. 2 to 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN



ORDER
17.07.2025

%

1. Pursuant to the orders dated 02.04.2025 and 07.05.2025, the respondent No. 2 – Syndicate Bank [“Bank”] has filed an affidavit on 14.05.2025, in which it is stated that the petitioners in W.P.(C) No. 13858/2019 and W.P.(C) No. 999/2020 availed of the Voluntary Retirement Scheme [“VRS”], whereas the petitioner in W.P.(C) No. 13884/2019 retired upon superannuation.
2. The provisions relating to voluntary retirement are contained in the Bank’s (Employees’) Pension Regulations, 1995. The applications of the two voluntary retirees, alongwith the respective orders of voluntary retirement, have also been annexed to the aforesaid affidavit.
3. The retirement of all three petitioners was after the disciplinary proceedings had already concluded and during the pendency of their appeals.
4. The petitioners will, therefore, be heard on merits.
5. At the request of Mr. Puneet Taneja, learned Senior Counsel for the Bank, list on 12.11.2025 in the category of “*After Notice Miscellaneous Matters*”.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JULY 17, 2025
UK/JM/