



\$~8 to 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1286/2022 and CM APPL.50740/2022
MK JAIN & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shashank Garg, Sr. Adv.
alongwith Mr. Vipul Wadhwa, Ms.
Kashika Gera, Mr. Shreyash
Choudhary and Mr. Prithvi Oberoi,
Advocates.

versus

JASMINE BUILDMART PVT. LTD. & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ramesh Singh and Ms. Bina
Gupta, Advocates for R2 and R3.
Ms. Radhika Gupta, Adv. for R5
alongwith R5/Amit Katyal.

9

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1542/2023
MK JAIN & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shashank Garg, Sr. Adv.
alongwith Mr. Vipul Wadhwa, Ms.
Kashika Gera, Mr. Shreyash
Choudhary and Mr. Prithvi Oberoi,
Advocates.

versus

JASMINE BUILD MART PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ramesh Singh and Ms. Bina
Gupta, Advocates for R2 and R3.
Ms. Radhika Gupta, Adv. for R5
alongwith R5/Amit Katyal.

10

+ CONT.CAS(C) 915/2023
M.K. JAIN & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shashank Garg, Sr. Adv.
alongwith Mr. Vipul Wadhwa, Ms.
Kashika Gera, Mr. Shreyash



Choudhary and Mr. Prithvi Oberoi,
Advocates.

versus

JASMINE BUILDMART PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ramesh Singh and Ms. Bina
Gupta, Advocates for R2 and R3.
Ms. Radhika Gupta, Adv. for R5
alongwith R5/Amit Katyal.

11

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1123/2025
M.K. JAIN & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shashank Garg, Sr. Adv.
alongwith Mr. Vipul Wadhwa, Ms.
Kashika Gera, Mr. Shreyash
Choudhary and Mr. Prithvi Oberoi,
Advocates.

versus

JASMINE BUILDMART PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ramesh Singh and Ms. Bina
Gupta, Advocates for R2 and R3.
Ms. Radhika Gupta, Adv. for R5
alongwith R5/Amit Katyal.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

09.02.2026

%

1. Respective counsel for the parties have addressed further arguments.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks some time to file an additional affidavit to draw a linkage between the respondents and the third-parties to whom the concerned flats were endorsed, allegedly in contempt/wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the judgment



dated 21.10.2019 passed in O.M.P.(I)(COMM.) 280-286/2019 by this Court. Let the same be filed within a period of one week from today.

3. The respondents in all these matters are represented except one respondent i.e. Mr. Rajiv Narda, who is stated to have expired during the pendency of the present proceedings. In the circumstances. Let Mr. Rajiv Naroda be deleted from the array of parties.

4. Let an amended memo of parties be filed by the petitioner within a period of 4 weeks from today. Let reply be filed by the respondents within a period of one week thereafter.

5. List for further arguments on 02.03.2026.

6. The Resolution Professional for respondent no.1, and the remaining respondents are directed to remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing.

SACHIN DATTA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026/at