



\$~108

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) 1375/2025, CM APPL. 45181/2025 & CM APPL. 45182/2025
ANAMIKA PRAKASHANPetitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh with Ms. Anshu Priyanka,
Mr. Vipul Saluja, Advocates.

versus

VANI PRAKASHAN & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Ritwik Parikh with Mr. Rajat K
Mittal, Ms. Kritika, Mr. Prateek
Srivastava and Mr. Lakshay
Manchanda, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **28.07.2025**

1. Petitioner is defendant before the learned Trial Court and is aggrieved by impugned order dated 27.05.2025 passed by the learned District Judge, Commercial Court whereby the learned Trial Court has allowed application moved by plaintiff under Order VI Rule 17 CPC as well as another application moved under Order I rule 10 CPC.
2. The grievance of the petitioner (defendant No. 2 before the learned Trial Court) is to the effect that the learned Trial Court had already heard the final arguments and had fixed up the matter for pronouncement of judgment.
3. During course of arguments, when certain objections were taken by him, the plaintiff, in order to negate such contentions and to fill up the lacunae in his suit, has moved the abovesaid two applications and such applications should not have been entertained by learned Trial Court to the detriment of the defendant.
4. Learned counsel for respondents appears on advance notice and, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, accepts notice.



5. Let a brief reply be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to the other side.
6. Both the parties would be at liberty to file written synopsis, if any.
7. List on 23.09.2025.
8. Learned Trial Court is requested to defer the hearing of the final arguments to a date subsequent to one given by this Court.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 28, 2025/sw/SS