



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 38/2025**

CJ CHEILJEDANG CORPORATION

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. J. V. Abhay, Mr. Madhur Chopra
and Mr. Sushain Sobti, Advs

versus

THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE MARKS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC,
Mr. Sanjay Pal, GP, Ms. Aditi Singh
& Mr. Navneet Saharan, Advs

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **18.12.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has addressed arguments in part.
2. He states that the petitioner has not started use of the refused mark in India for the goods specified in Class I. He states that this mark is being used in Korea and he will place on record pictures of the use of the mark in Korea for these goods.
3. He also states that he will place on record by way of an additional note the trade channel and the class of consumers for appellant's goods and the goods of the cited mark.
4. He submits that the cited marks had a disclaimer, which was not considered by the Registrar in the impugned order.
5. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the written submissions have been filed, however, there is some delay. The delay in filing the written submissions is condoned. The written submissions are directed to be



taken on record. She states that the disclaimer in the cited mark has no bearing in the facts of this case as the Petitioner is seeking registration of the mark for PAN India.

6. List for further hearing on **05.02.2026**.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 18, 2025

gm