



\$~8

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(OS) 482/2025

RAJESH KRISHNA

.....Plaintiff

Through: None.

Versus

MR. B. MUHAMMAD SHARSHAD & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Wills Mathews and Ms.
Shivangi Ranjan, Advs. for
D-1 (through VC)
Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr.
Shivom Sethi and Mr.
Nandeesh Nanda, Advs. for
D-2.
Mr. Aljo K. Joseph & Mr.
Rajnish Kumar, Advs. for
D-3 (through VC)
Mr. Aditya Mathur, Adv.
for D-5 (M: 8447919954)
Mr. Akhil Shandilya and
Mr. Debdivya Saha, Advs.
for D-6 (through VC)
Ms. Lakshita Negi, Adv. for
D-7 (through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

04.05.2026

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A.No.11595/2026 (by D-2 u/S 151 CPC for condonation
of delay in filing reply to application u/O XXXIX Rule 1
& 2 CPC) &**

**I.A.No.11596/2026 (by D-2 u/O VIII Rule 1 r/w Section 5
of limitation Act seeking leave to file written statement
beyond 30 days)**

1. None has appeared on behalf of plaintiff.
2. Plaintiff has failed to file the reply to both the applications.



3. Heard.
4. It is settled law that technicalities of law should not come in the way of justice and endeavour of court should be to decide the lis on merits. Hence, considering the submissions made in the applications, the delay in filing written statement, affidavit of admission/denial of documents and reply in I.A. 17760/2025 on behalf of the defendant no.2 is condoned.
5. The captioned IAs are disposed off accordingly.

I.A.No. 10119/2026 (by D-6 u/S 151 CPC for condonation of delay in filing written statement with affidavit for admission/denial of documents reply to plaintiffs I.A. 17760/2025)

6. None has appeared on behalf of plaintiff.
7. The plaintiff has failed to file reply. Therefore, right of plaintiff to file reply stands closed.
8. Heard.
9. It is settled law that technicalities of law should not come in the way of justice and endeavour of court should be to decide the lis on merits. Hence, considering the submissions made in the applications, the delay in filing written statement, affidavit of admission/denial of documents and reply in I.A. 17760/2025 on behalf of the defendant no.6 is condoned.
10. The captioned IA stands disposed off accordingly.

I.A.No. 32674/2025 (u/O VII Rule 14 r/w Section 151 CPC for permission to file additional documents)

11. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2 and Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 submit that copy of the captioned IA has not been supplied by the plaintiff. Let the same be supplied during the course of day.



12.Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 5 and Ld. Counsel for defendant no.6 submit that they do not wish to file reply to the captioned IA.

13.Reply on behalf of remaining defendants be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

CS(OS) 482/2025

14.The plaintiff shall be at liberty to file replication and affidavit for admission/denial of documents qua defendant nos. 2 & 6 within 30 days.

15.Let Joint Document Schedule including hard copy of the same be filed at least two weeks before the next date.

16.Both the parties shall file hard copies of their documents at least two weeks before the next date.

17.Re-notify the matter for admission/denial of documents/further proceedings on **24th August, 2026**.

**GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

18.At this stage, Mr. Jojo Jose and Ms. Sunitha John, Advocates for plaintiff has appeared and has apprised of the order passed today.

**GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 04, 2026/PU

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)