



\$~1 to 35 (Special DB)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1174/2023& CM APPL. 44360/2023

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

SANJAY ARORA & ANRRespondents

Through:

(2)

+ W.P.(CRL) 437/2018&CRL.M.A. 32362/2023 & CRL.M.A.
33885/2023

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Monika Arora, CGSC with Mr.
Subhrodeep Saha, Mr. Prabhat
Kumar, Ms. Anamika Thakur, Mr.
Abhinav Verma, Advs. for UOI

(3)

+ W.P.(CRL) 2469/2023&CRL.M.A. 23597/2023, CRL.M.A.
24698/2023 & CRL.M.A. 27152/2023

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus



DELHI POLICE COMMISSIONER AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC
with Ms. Gurleen Kaur Wairach, Mr.
Kritarth Upadhyay & Ms. Aparajita
Verma, Advs. for Delhi Police.

(4)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 382/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

ROHIT MEENA, IPS AGMUT 2012 & ANR.Respondents

Through:

(5)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 391/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

MANOJ C. IPS AGMUT 2011Respondent

Through:

(6)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 408/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

ROHIT MEENA IPS AGMUT 2012 AND ANRRespondents



Through:

(7)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 409/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

MANOJ C, IPS AGMUT 2011

.....Respondent

Through:

(8)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 417/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

MANOJ C. IPS AGMUT 2011

.....Respondent

Through:

(9)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 418/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

MANOJ C. IPS AGUMUT 2011

.....Respondent

Through:

(10)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 419/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.



Versus

ROHIT MEENA IPS AGMUT 2012 AND ANRRespondents

Through:

(11)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 557/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

PRANAV TAYAL IPS AGMUT 2011

.....Respondent

Through:

(12)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 558/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

INSPECTOR SAHDEV KUMAR RANA

.....Respondent

Through:

(13)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 559/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

SUB-INSPECTOR CHETAN RANA

.....Respondent

Through:

(14)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 560/2024



SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

MANOJ C. IPS AGMUT 2011Respondent

Through:

(15)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 561/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

ADDITIONAL DCP ALOK KUMAR DANIPS 2010....Respondent

Through:

(16)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 636/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

PRANAV TAYAL IPS AGMUT 2011Respondent

Through:

(17)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 683/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

SANJAY ARORA IPS TAMIL NADU CADRE 1988.....Respondent



Through:

(18)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 684/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

ROHIT MEENA IPS AGMUT 2012

.....Respondent

Through:

(19)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 720/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

ROHIT MEENA IPS AGMUT 2012

.....Respondent

Through:

(20)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 721/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

DEVESH KUMAR MAHLA IPS AGMUT 2012.....Respondent

Through:

(21)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 897/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus



KANWAL JEET ARORA, AN OFFICER OF DHJS.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav
Chauhan, Advs. for DHC

(22)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1177/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

AMIT KAUSHIK DANIPS 2010Respondent

Through:

(23)

+ CONT.CAS.(CRL) 3/2012& CRL.M.A. 15203/2013

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTIONPetitioner

Through:

Versus

SEEMA SAPRARespondent

Through: Respondent in person.

(24)

+ W.P.(C) 13604/2023&CM APPL. 54952/2023, CM APPL.
56683/2023, CM APPL. 56684/2023, CM APPL. 57150/2023, CM
APPL. 58182/2023, CM APPL. 59187/2023, CM APPL. 62254/2023,
CM APPL. 17491/2024 & CM APPL. 18558/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

CP, MR. SANJAY ARORA AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC



with Ms. Gurleen Kaur Wairach, Mr. Kritarth Upadhyay & Ms. Aparajita Verma, Advs. for Delhi Police.
Mr. Himanshu Pathak, SPC with Mr. Amit Singh, Adv. for Delhi Police.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Ms. Jyotsana Vyas, Ms. Ruchita Srivastava, Advs.
Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.

(25)

+ W.P.(C) 11618/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

AMIT KAUSHIK DANIPS 2010 AND OTHERS.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.
Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP-CBI for R-CBI
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra for Ms. Pratima N., Lakra, CGSC for R-1, 3, 4, 6 to 8, 17

(26)

+ W.P.(C) 5565/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

DELHI HIGH COURT THROUGH
THE REGISTRAR GENERAL

.....Respondent



Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav
Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.
Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP-CBI for
R-CBI

(27)

+ W.P.(C) 9860/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY NOW OPERATING AS GE
AEROSPACE AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through:

(28)

+ W.P.(C) 11164/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

USHA RANGNANI IPS AGMUT 2011 AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP-CBI for
R-CBI

Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav
Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.

(29)

+ W.P.(C) 11165/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus



ALPHABET INC AND OTHERSRespondents

Through: Ms. Monika Arora, CGSC with Mr. Subhrodeep Saha, Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Ms. Anamika Thakur, Mr. Abhinav Verma, Advs. for R-1. Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.

(30)

+ W.P.(C) 13927/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

DELHI HIGH COURT THROUGH
THE REGISTRAR GENERAL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.

(31)

+ W.P.(C) 14397/2024

SEEMA SAPRAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY NOW OPERATING AS GE
AEROSPACE AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP-CBI for R-CBI
Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav Chauhan, Advs. for DHC

(32)



+ W.P.(C) 14578/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC
with Ms. Gurleen Kaur Wairach, Mr.
Kritarth Upadhyay & Ms. Aparajita
Verma, Advs. for Delhi Police.
Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP-CBI for
R-CBI.
Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav
Chauhan, Advs. for DHC

(33)

+ W.P.(C) 16010/2024

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

DCP SURENDRA CHAUDHARY IPS 2013 AGMUT AND
OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP-CBI for
R-CBI
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra for Ms.
Pratima N., Lakra, CGSC for R-1 to
3, 6 to 9, 18, 21 to 24.
Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Abhinav
Chauhan, Advs. for DHC.

(34)

+ W.P.(C) 8353/2025& CM APPL. 36774/2025



SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

JT. CP DEEPAK PUROHIT IPS 2007 & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC for
UIO (Delhi Police)

(35)

+ W.P.(C) 9100/2025

SEEMA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

Versus

JT. CP DEEPAK PUROHIT IPS 2007 AND ORSRespondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

%

20.08.2025

1. Vide an administrative order passed by Hon'ble the Chief Justice of this Court, all these 35 matters of the petitioner have been placed before this Special Division Bench. Accepting the request, we have scheduled the hearing of these petitions today at 2:30 PM.
2. The petitioner, who is also an Advocate by profession, has placed before us two documents, which are neither synopsis nor contain the chronology of events. In response to the Court's query, she has stated that these documents can be helpful in deciding the issues raised in these



petitions.

3. Subsequent thereto, instead of addressing the issues raised in these 35 petitions in support of the Prayer Clause, the petitioner has started telling story about her sufferings, without concentrating on the pleadings and the relief claimed.

4. We have tried to bring the petitioner to the merits of the case, however, she is more interested in narrating the history in respect of the litigation in question. We have apprised her that by making irrelevant submissions, she is hindering the hearing of the other cases at the cost and suffering of other Advocates and litigants, who are waiting for their cases to be taken up and heard. However, she is unable to come to the terms.

5. At the end of the day and conclusion of the hearing, when we are about to dictate the Order, not only the petitioner has created scene and drama in the Court but she has in very high tone and pitch and arrogantly challenged the authority of this Court and repeatedly asked this Court to recuse itself from hearing these petitions.

6. When this Court refused to recuse itself, as she has successfully been doing so in earlier rounds of hearing before the various Benches of this Court, she misbehaved and failed to maintain the decorum in the Court and we were required to call the Security during the course of hearing.

7. Considering the work assigned to the regular Division Bench and also that of the Bench of which sister Judge (Hon'ble Ms. Justice Madhu Jain) is a member, we have adjourned the hearing for 13th October, 2025 at 2:30 PM.

8. At this stage, the petitioner in person has requested this Court to recuse itself from hearing the present petitions, alleging that the Court is not



willing to issue Notices.

9. This Court in reference to the above has made it clear to the petitioner that that unless this Court is convinced, Notices will not be issued in the present petitions.

10. The petitioner in person has once again stated that if this Court does not have time to hear these matters, then this Court should recuse itself.

11. We reject the request made by the petitioner, making it clear that nothing stops her to take appropriate recourse to law/ remedy if she has any grievance.

12. List for hearing on 13th October, 2025 at 2:30 PM.

13. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

MADHU JAIN, J

AUGUST 20, 2025/ab/sm