



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 17720/2022, CM APPL. 56651/2022, CM APPL.14333/2023,
CM APPL. 14334/2023, CM APPL.23684/2023 & CM APPL.
23685/2023

RAVINDER TYAGI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Praveen Agrawal, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA THROUGH ITS
CHAIRMAN & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Neetika Sharma and Ms. Saloni
Jagga, Advocates for NHAI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER
28.04.2025

%

1. Since validity of the rules in this petition has been challenged which have been framed by the Central Government in exercise of its powers available to it under Section 9 of the National Highways Act, 1956, affidavit in reply needs to be filed on behalf of the Union of India.
2. At the prayer made by learned counsel representing the Union of India, four weeks' time is granted to file counter affidavit. Two weeks thereafter shall be available to the petitioner to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.
3. List on 02.09.2025.
4. If the counter affidavit on behalf of Union of India is not filed within



the time being stipulated in this order, the Court may be compelled to draw adverse inference.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

APRIL 28, 2025

yrj