



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 683/2022, CM APPL. 56583/2022, CM APPL. 56584/2022
CM APPL. 56586/2022, CM APPL. 26427/2023, CM APPL.
26657/2023, CM APPL. 7766/2025

SHABANA KHATOON & ANR.Appellants

Through: Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate.

versus

PRATIBHA SINGHRespondent

Through: Mr. Hari Kishan, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER
12.12.2025

%

Learned counsel appearing for the appellants seeks an adjournment, submitting that appellant No.1's daughter is getting married.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent opposes the request for adjournment, submitting that it is an invalid ground to not proceed with the matter, pointing-out that the appellants have been delaying the proceedings before this court.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent also draws attention to the documents appended at Annexure A-9 of the paper-book, to point-out that the appellants have committed forgery in relation of an affidavit/undertaking filed by the respondent, by changing para 2 of



the original affidavit where the respondent had asserted that she was ‘owner’ of the suit property, which statement of the respondent has been changed by the appellants, to say that the respondent has ‘sold’ the first floor of the suit property to the appellants.

4. Counsel submits, that the forgery is evident from the fact that the stamp paper appended to both affidavits/undertakings is the exact same stamp paper, with the exact same serial number, date and other particulars.
5. On a first blush reading, the respondent’s submission appears to be borne-out from the record; though it requires closer consideration.
6. In view of the above, let the appellants remain present in court on the next date.
7. Re-notify on 06th January 2026.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

DECEMBER 12, 2025/ak