



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 683/2022**

SHABANA KHATOON & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: Mr. R.K. Sharma and Mr. Neeraj
Kumar, Advocates

versus

PRATIBHA SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Hari Kishan, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

% **17.02.2025**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 9537/2025 (under Section 340 Cr.P.C./303 BNSS)

1. This application has been filed by appellants, alleging that the rent agreement was forged document. The appeal assails judgment and decree of recovery of possession of the tenanted property, which decree was passed under Order XII Rule 6 CPC. The plea of forgery appears to have been taken for the first time now in the year 2025 while the impugned judgment and decree is of the year 2022.
2. After addressing partly, learned counsel for appellants seeks permission to withdraw this application. The application is dismissed as withdrawn. *Prima facie*, it appears that having obtained stay on operation of the impugned judgment and decree, the appellants are trying to protract the proceedings. In this regard, appellants are warned to be careful in future.



RFA 683/2022

3. List on the date already fixed i.e., 24.02.2025 before Registrar.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2025/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any