



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 700/2019**
XIAOMI TECHNOLOGY INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED &
ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ritwik Sharma, Adv.

versus

WWW.XIAOMI-INDIA.XYZ & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Nishant Gautam, Adv.
for MEITY.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
27.04.2026

Service-affidavit has been filed by the plaintiff, vide dairy no. 186933/2026 and as per same, the defendant no. 35 to 37 have been served through emails on 25.04.2026 but defendant no. 34 could not be served. Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that the defendant no. 34 is a rogue websites, therefore the email has bounced back and it could not be served by any other means. Submissions be taken on record.

List the matter before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed i.e. **29.04.2026**.

SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 27, 2026/jr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any