



\$~1 (SDB)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010489852024

+ **W.P.(C) 11073/2024**

BHARAT BHUSHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kotla Harshavardhan, Ms.
Rishbha Arora & Ms. Komal Pandey,
Advs.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddhant Nath (Standing Counsel
MCD) with Ms. Bhavishya Makhija
and Mr. Amaan Khan, Advocates.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **31.07.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL.43405/2026 in W.P.(C) 11073/2024

2. This is an application seeking modification of the order dated 19th May, 2026 passed by this Court.

3. On the last date of hearing, MCD was directed to file its response to the application.

4. The Court has perused the status report filed by the MCD.

5. Considering the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, let MCD take a decision as to whether persons who have visual impairment, as is the case of the Petitioner herein, or some other disabilities would be required to be given reasonable accommodation.



6. This would be a Policy decision to be taken by the MCD, bearing in mind the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, as also the judgment of the Supreme Court requiring the reasonable accommodation to be given to persons with disabilities in ***Rajive Raturi v. Union of India [(2018) 2 SCC 413]***.
7. Further, the Supreme Court in ***Vikash Kumar v. UPSC & Ors. [2021 SCC Online SC 84]*** has also held that the State, as also the private parties are mandated to provide reasonable accommodation to persons with disabilities.
8. Since the TVC-2 elections have also been scheduled to be held in August, 2026, let this matter be placed before the TVC-2 within 2 weeks after its constitution.
9. The appropriate official of the MCD, along with TVC-2 shall take a decision in this regard and shall file a status report.
10. Until then, the Petitioner shall not be disturbed from his vending site and is exempted from condition No. 11(v) imposed in terms of order dated 19th May, 2026 by this Court.
11. This shall not be treated as a precedent and is being passed in the unique facts of this case, where the Petitioner is a 100% blind person.
12. List on 9th October, 2026.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

MADHU JAIN, J.

JULY 31, 2026/MR/SS