



\$~13

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 744/2025

INDMONEY TECH PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Christopher Thomas,
Advocates

Versus

ASHOK KUMAR AND ORS

.....Defendant

Through: Shivika Mattoo, Suvaroop Saha
Roy, Advocates for D-5

Mr. Yajas Achal, Advocate for
D-6.

Ms. Anuparna Chatterjee,
Advocate for D-9

Ms. Pragya Bhushan, Mr. Sanjay
Khanna, Advocates for D-11

Ms. Sweety Sood (VC),
Advocate for D-15

Mr. Umakant Kataria, Mr. Mohit
Tomar, Advocates for D-16.

Ms. Charu Sachdev (VC),
Advocate for D-17

Palak Tyagi, Mr. Sidhant Malik
(VC), Advocates for D-20

Mr. Deep Prabhu, Mr. Shashank
Tiwari, Advocate for D-21.

Mr. Akshay Gola (VC),
Advocate for D-22

Mr. Yash Kotak, Standing
Counsel for D-24.



Dr. Sumit Kumar and Palak Joshi
(VC), Advocates for D-25

Mr. Prashant Tripathi (VC),
Mr. Ayush Chauhan, Advocates
for D-27.
Email : pmrt@pmrtlegal.com

Mr. Arun Aggarwal with
Lovelesh Kukreja (VC),
Advocates for D-28
Email : <mailto:advarun36@gmail.com>

Mr. Siddhartha Sain (VC),
Advocate for D-29
Email : email-sainassociates55@gmail.com

Mr. Ruchin Mishra, Mr. Mukesh
Kumar Tiwari, Advocates for
UOI

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER
28.11.2025

%

I.A. No. 25534/2025 (filed by the plaintiffs seeking further directions in continuation of the interim order dated 28.07.2025).

1. Notice in the present IA was accepted in the Hon'ble Court on behalf of defendant nos. 5, 6, 9 and 25. Further, Hon'ble Court had directed issuance of notice to defendant nos. 3, 4, 10, 12 and 15. However, as per the noting of the Registry, plaintiff did not file PF for service of notice to defendant nos. 3, 4, 10, 12 and 15.
2. While passing directions in the present IA, Hon'ble Court had directed defendant nos. 3 to 6, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 25 to file their respective replies. However, no reply has been filed on



behalf of any of these defendants.

3. Specific directions were issued by the Hon'ble Court qua defendant no. 5 i.e. Whatsapp LLC which are stated to have been complied with by defendant no. 5 and the plaintiff counsel has been informed of the same. This assertion of defendant no. 5 has been confirmed by ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

4. Defendant no. 6 Telegram was also given certain directions and ld. Counsel for the plaintiff confirms that defendant no. 6 has complied with the directions.

5. Defendant no. 3 and 4 are respective DNR's concerning the impugned websites / domain names which were required to be blocked in compliance of the directions passed on 28.07.2025. However, there is no appearance on behalf of defendant nos. 3 & 4, possibly for the reason that notice could not be served on them on account of non-filing of PF in the present IA. It appears that for the same reason, there is no appearance on behalf of defendant no. 10.

6. Directions were issued to defendant no. 12 i.e. IDBI Bank, defendant no. 15 UCO Bank and defendant no. 25 Axis Bank. There is no appearance on behalf of defendant no. 12 IDBI Bank, possibly for the reason that no PF had been filed for service in the present IA. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 15 UCO Bank has however filed its affidavit of compliance and which is on record. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 15 is directed to supply a copy of the same to ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

7. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 25 Axis Bank seeks two weeks to file its compliance affidavit. However, she has informed that two bank accounts in question had been frozen but they are yet to supply the details of the same to the ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.



I.A. No. 17713/2025 (Under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of CPC).

8. Reply has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 5. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 5 submits that directions passed on 28.07.2025 have been complied with.

9. Ld. Counsel for defendant nos. 7 and 8 submits that directions of the Hon'ble Court have been complied with and compliance intimation in this regard had been sent through email to ld. Counsel for the plaintiff which assertion has been confirmed by ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

10. Reply cum compliance affidavit has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 11. Compliance affidavit on behalf of defendant no. 20 is on record.

11. Reply on behalf of defendant no. 22 is under objection. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 22 is directed to take steps to bring the reply on record.

12. Ld. Counsels for defendant nos. 13, 16, 17 and 24 (all these defendants are banks) submit that they could not comply with the respective directions passed by the Hon'ble Court since the account details provided by the plaintiff are incorrect. In response, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has passed on the necessary directions to the plaintiff to convey the correct account details and as soon as the same is received necessary directions in this regard shall be sought from the Hon'ble Court.

13. Defendant no. 21 Bandan Bank is stated to have filed its compliance affidavit yesterday. Same would be pending scrutiny. Copy of the same has been supplied to ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.



14. On 17.11.2025, defendant nos. 26 to 29 were impleaded and summons were issued to them. Although service report is awaited, appearance has been put in today on behalf of defendant no. 27 IDFC First Bank, defendant no. 28 Bank of Baroda and defendant no. 29 Citi Union Bank.

15. Ld. Counsels for defendant nos. 27 to 29 submit that they do not have the necessary details of the accounts for them to take necessary action in compliance of the Hon'ble Court's directions. In response, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he shall be supplying the necessary details in this regard to ld. Counsel for defendant nos. 27 to 29. Amended memo of parties has been filed.

16. Matter is already listed before the Hon'ble Court for 17.12.2025. Be placed before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. 17.12.2025, for further directions.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

NOVEMBER 28, 2025/sk