



\$~69 & 70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4943/2025

HARPRIYA ANEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Proxy
Counsel.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Bhanu Pratap
Singh, Advocate
Mr. Samrat Nigam, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Mr. Surender
Kumar Hooda and Mr. Anshul
Dokhoria, Advs. for R-2.

70

CRL.REV.P. 1075/2018, CRL.M.A. 48763/2018

JAGNOOR ANEJA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Samrat Niagam, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Mr. Surender
Kumar Hooda, Mr. Anshul
Dokhoria Mr. Aashish Gupta and
Ms. Fema Pordong, Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Bhanu Pratap
Singh, Advocate
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
25.03.2026

%



1. Learned (proxy) counsel for the petitioners submit that they shall supply a copy of the complete paper-book to the complainant *qua* the respective FIRs, who seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for filing their respective written synopsis instead of reply/ rejoinder not exceeding *three pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon.
2. Renotify on 19.08.2026.
3. Interim order(s), if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 25, 2026/bh