



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 653/2019, I.A. 17791/2019, I.A. 15565/2022, I.A. 4395-
4396/2023

MR. SUNIL SACHDEVA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Anirudh Sharma and Ms. Shriya
Chanda, Advocates

versus

MR. ASHOK MAHINDRU & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Ms. Akriti Singh, Proxy counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

24.04.2024

%

1. Learned counsel on behalf of Defendant No. 1 to 3 admits that their application for condonation of delay in filing the appearance on behalf of Defendant No. 1 to 3 does not state anything in regard to service through e-mail and also does not state when the summons of the present Suit were handed over, as alleged.
2. She seeks time to file a better affidavit which may be filed within 3 weeks.
3. It is also submitted that the Defendant No. 1 to 3 who were the Directors of Defendant No. 4 to 9, who had also stood guarantors for the loans taken by Defendant No. 4 to 9, have gone into insolvency.
4. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that they do not have the details of the Insolvency proceedings against the Defendant No. 1 to 3.



5. The Defendants are directed to file the Status along with the Orders of the NCLT before this Court within 3 weeks.
6. List for consideration of the pending applications on 20.08.2024.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 24, 2024/PT