



\$~14

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 955/2023, CM APPL. 62465/2023 (stay), CM APPL. 61648/2025 (for impleadment), CM APPL. 28812/2026 (for release of deposited occupancy charges)

MOHAN LAL SEHGAL THROUGH LRS .....Appellant

Through: Counsel for Appellant.

versus

KASTURI LAL SEHGAL .....Respondent

Through: Counsel for Respondent with R2 in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

% **29.04.2026**

1. Arguments concluded on behalf of the Appellant, as well as, the Respondent, Mr. Pardeep Seghal and Applicant, Ms. Dipika Sehgal, who has moved an Application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC.
2. Arguments also heard on the Application bearing CM APPL. 61648/2025 under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of Ms. Dipika Sehgal.
3. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2 chooses to appear through VC and is stating that it was only two dates back he had been impleaded and he does not have the record.
4. He is at liberty to obtain it from the Registry.
5. It is unfortunate that after appearing for two dates, suddenly he claims not to be having any record.



6. Be as it may, he may take the record from the Registry.
7. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2 seeks time to file Written Arguments.
8. Amended Memo of Parties be filed within three days.
9. Be listed for arguments on 06.05.2026 at the top of the Board.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**APRIL 29, 2026/RS**