



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 955/2023, CM APPL. 62465/2023
MOHAN LAL SEHGAL THROUGH LRS

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Khurana and Mr. Gaurav
Pachauri, Advocates.

versus

KASTURI LAL SEHGAL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Surender Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **18.02.2026**

**CM APPL. 61648/2025 (under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC on behalf of the LRs
of Deceased Respondent for Impleadment)**

1. An Application has been filed on behalf of Ms. Dipika Sehgal under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC to be impleaded as party.
2. It is submitted that she is the daughter-in-law (wife of deceased Sh. Pradeep Sehgal) and that she and her husband have bequeathed the property by virtue of his Will dated 21.11.2023 by the deceased Respondent. It is submitted that she is, therefore, entitled to be impleaded as party.
3. Learned counsel for the Appellant states that there is already a Suit pending in respect of the Will between Pradeep Sehgal and Goldy Sehgal and she has no locus to be impleaded as a party in the present Appeal.
4. Both the counsels seeks an adjournment to get proper instructions.
5. Learned counsel for the Respondent submits that he has been recently engaged and his Vakalatnama is still under objections.
6. No further adjournment to either party shall be granted on any ground.



7. E-TCR be again summoned before the next date of hearing.
8. List on 29.04.2026.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

FEBRUARY 18, 2026/va