



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EX.P. 100/2014, EX.APPL.(OS) 230/2014, 762/2014, 878/2015,
883/2015, 947/2015, 99/2018, 554/2018, 773/2019, 2844/2022
ASHOK KUMAR KHURANA Decree Holder
Through: None.

versus

TILAK RAJ KHURANA & ORS Judgement Debtors
Through: Mr. Atul Nigam, Advocate for JD
1 - 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

09.01.2024

1. The decree holder is not represented when the matter is taken up for hearing.
2. Mr. Atul Nigam, learned counsel for judgment debtors No. 1 to 3, points out that on the last date of hearing, a new counsel had entered appearance on behalf of the decree holder. However, no *vakalatnama* has been filed by her. Even on that date, Mr. Nigam had submitted that the judgment debtors have complied with the order under execution but the decree holder has not. He submits that the non-appearance of the decree holder thus prejudices the judgment debtors.
3. In the interest of justice, one opportunity is given to the decree holder to enter appearance.
4. Court notice be issued to the decree holder and to learned counsel who has last filed *vakalatnama* on behalf of the decree holder for the next



date of hearing.

5. List on 12.03.2024.

6. It is made clear that if the decree holder is not represented on the next date of hearing, proceedings may continue in his absence.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 9, 2024

A/