



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 188/2014 & CM APPL. 42205/2016**

SMT GULNAR ZIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Dewan, Ms. Hema Tuli, Mr. A. Singh, Mr. Manoj Kumar and Mr. Raunak Gupta, Advocates.

versus

S S BRAR

.....Respondent

Through: Respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

10.02.2025

%

1. Respondent is present in Court and seeks adjournment on the ground that the brother of his counsel has, unfortunately, expired.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which the order of status quo passed on 09.12.2009 in CS(OS) 1888/2009 has been flouted by the respondent.
3. The above said suit for specific performance was filed by the respondent and such suit was, reportedly, dismissed on 16.12.2013.
4. However, according to learned counsel for the petitioner, during the pendency of the suit, and when the above said status quo order was in operation, the petitioner had sold the property in question on 10.10.2012, though the possession was with the petitioner always.
5. In order to see various orders passed in the above said suit, Registry is directed to attach e-copy of the entire record of CS (OS) 1888/2009.
6. List on 21.04.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2025/ss