



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10735/2025 & CM APPL. 44396/2025

DR NAINA HASIJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate.

versus

DELHI UNIVERSITY & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal & Mr.
Hardik Rupal, Advocates.

Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Standing
Counsel for UGC with Vibhav
Mishra, Ms. Megha Gaur & Ms.
Renu Bhandari, Advocates for
UGC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **12.08.2025**

1. Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2, submits that he has also been instructed to appear for respondent No. 3 – Kalindi College [“College”]. According to Mr. Rupal, the petitioner undertook during her period of service with the College that any excess amounts paid to her may be recovered. Consequently, he submits that recovery in the present case falls within the ratio of the Supreme Court’s decisions in *State of Punjab and Ors. v. Rafiq Maish (White Washer) and Ors.* [(2015) 4 SCC 334], *Thomas Daniel v. State of Kerala* [2022 SCC OnLine SC 536], and, more recently, in *Jogeswar Sahoo and Ors. v. The District Judge Cuttack and Ors.* [SLP (C) 5918/2024, decided on 04.04.2025].



2. Mr. Rupal further submits that certain material documents have been withheld from the writ petition.
3. In view of these assertions, the respondents are directed to file counter affidavits within four weeks. Rejoinders thereto, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
4. List on 15.12.2025, in the category of “*After Notice Miscellaneous matters*”.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

AUGUST 12, 2025

‘pv/sd’/