



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 649/2019**

PRAVEEN KUMAR JAIN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Advocate for plaintiff (appearance
not given).

versus

SPECTRUM TECHNO PROJECTS P. LTD. & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Luqman S. Hassan, Adv. for
D-1 to 3 (Mob. No. 9711019456)

Mr. Imran Moulaey, Adv. for D-4
(through VC).

Mr. Ashish Upadhyay, Adv. for D-5
(through VC).

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER

08.05.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

I.A. No. 19711/2022 (by D-5 u/O VII Rule 11 CPC)

1. As per office noting, reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff.
2. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.5 submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder to the said reply of plaintiff.
3. Pleadings qua the captioned IA are complete.
4. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.5 has submitted that the plaintiff has not paid previous cost of Rs.2,000/- to the defendant no.5 in terms of order dated 16.01.2025.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:37:05

5. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that though he has filed an application for waiving of cost, however, he is ready



to pay the cost in terms of the previous order within one week. Let the same be paid accordingly.

6. Ld. Counsels for parties appearing today have submitted that the captioned IA may be placed before the Hon'ble Court where they would make further submissions.
7. At joint request, let the captioned IA be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on **16th July, 2025**.

I.A. No. 19009/2022 (by D-5 u/O I Rule 10 CPC seeking his deletion)

8. As per office noting, reply to the captioned IA filed on behalf of plaintiff vide diary no.2317948/2023 dated 09.04.2025 was returned under objection. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
9. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.5 has submitted that the plaintiff has not paid previous cost of Rs.2,000/- to the defendant no.5 in terms of order dated 16.01.2025.
- 10.Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that though he has filed an application for waiving of cost, however, he is ready to pay the cost in terms of the previous order within one week. Let the same be paid accordingly.
- 11.The defendant no.5 may file rejoinder to the reply of plaintiff within four weeks.

CS(OS) 649/2019

- 12.Perusal of record shows that separate written statements and affidavits of admission/denial were already filed on behalf of defendants no.1 to 3, defendant no.4 as well as defendant no.5.
- 13.As per office noting, replication qua defendants no.1 to 3

filed vide diary no.2317930/2023 dt. 9.4.2025, replication qua defendant no.4 filed vide diary no.2498818 dated 13.04.2025.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DDO server on 25.07.2025 at 13:17:11.



9.4.2025 and replication qua defendant no.5 filed vide diary no.2464378 dated 8.4.2025, on behalf of plaintiff, were returned under objection. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.

14. At request, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he will supply copies of the replications to Ld. Counsels for defendants within one week. Let the same be supplied accordingly.

15. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.

16. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **28th August, 2025**.

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 8, 2025/v

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)