



\$~3

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 17474/2022**

**ANITA SHARMA**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Maneesh Kumar, Advocate.  
versus

**JAIN COOP. BANK LTD AND ORS**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Yatharth Gupta, Advocate for R-1.  
Mr. Udit Malik, ASC for GNCTD with Mr. Vishal Chanda, Advocate.  
Mr. Srivastav and Mr. Sagar Arora, Advocates for R-5.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN**

**ORDER**

% **17.03.2023**

The hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode (physical and virtual hearing).

1. Notice could not be issued for want of process fee and for non-filing of a copy of the petition.
2. At request of the petitioner, issue fresh notice to the remaining respondents in terms of the previous order dated 05.01.2023, returnable on before the next date.
3. The learned counsel for GNCTD draws the court's attention to paragraph 3 of the impugned order which records that the loan was extended by the Bank to Ms. Ashu Jain on the basis of a Registered Sale Deed dated 08.12.2015 and the appellant could at best have a



claim/right on the property on the basis of only a General Power of Attorney.

4. The learned counsel for the Bank too adopts the said argument and he has much more to say in favour of the Bank.
5. Re-notify on 02.08.2023.

**NAJMI WAZIRI, J**

**SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J**

**MARCH 17, 2023**

SS