



\$~67 & 73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1404/2022 & CM APPL. 55580/2022, CM APPL.
55581/2022

MAHAVIR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Advocate.

versus

AMIT YADAV AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, ASC.

AND

73.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1410/2022 CM APPL. 55710/2022, CM APPL.
55711/2022

RAVINDER KUMAR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Advocate.

versus

AMIT YADAV AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, ASC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

21.12.2022

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of the orders dated 30th May 2016 and 01st August 2016 passed in Contempt Case No. 462/2016.

2. Mr. Ankur Chibber, learned counsel for the Respondent, who appears



on advance service, states that Respondents have acted in pursuance to the subsequent order passed by the Division Bench in W.P. (C) 11669/2021. He states that the present contempt proceeding are therefore, not maintainable. He further states that the fundamental issue whether the Petitioner is eligible vendor or not is an issue directly arising in W.P.(C) No. 10944/2022, which is listed before the Division Bench on 10th January 2023.

3. He therefore prays that to avoid conflict of orders the present petitions may also be listed along with the said Writ Petition.

4. Accordingly, list both these matters subject to the orders of Hon'ble the Chief Justice along with W.P.(C) 10944/2022 on 10th January, 2023.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 21, 2022/hp/asb/pkv