



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10933/2024 & CM APPL. 45037/2024**

STAFF SELECTION COMMISSIONPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Anshuman, SPC**

versus

PARVEEN

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Anil Singal, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

% **28.11.2024**

1. The respondent in this case had affixed his thumb impression on the cover page of the answer sheet but had failed to append his signature. The Central Administrative Tribunal¹ has taken the view that, as the thumb impression had been affixed, the failure to append the signature could not be fatal.

2. Mr. Anshuman, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no clear material to indicate that the thumb impression was that of the respondent.

3. In order to allay this apprehension, we deem it appropriate that Central Forensic Science Laboratory² be directed to provide an opinion in this regard. We direct the petitioner to forward the original

¹ "Tribunal" hereinafter

² "CFSL" hereinafter



answer sheet of the respondent along with a sample sheet containing the respondent's thumb impression which would be taken by the petitioner, to the CFSL, for opinion.

4. Let the sampled thumb impression of the respondent be provided by the respondent to the petitioner within 24 hours. The document should be forwarded to the CFSL within three days herefrom. We request the CFSL to provide an opinion within two weeks from today.

5. Mr. Anshuman undertakes to inform Mr. Singal of the place and time when the thumb impression of the respondent could be provided.

6. Re-notify on 18 December 2024.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J.

NOVEMBER 28, 2024

ar

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)