



\$~10

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 15429/2023

INDIGLOW AUTOMOBILES PVT. LTD.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Bhatia, Adv.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF VAT, DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. K.G. Gopalakrishnan, Adv.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

% **28.10.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. A counter affidavit has not been filed. However, Mr. Gopalakrishnan, Id. Counsel for the Respondents submits that in respect of the 22 objections, 21 objections were decided and only one objection remains pending, which is presently under consideration.
3. Accordingly, let a decision in respect of the remaining objection be also taken and the Court be informed of the position on the next date of hearing.
4. According to Id. Counsel for the Petitioner, in terms of Section 74 of the Delhi Value Added Tax, 2004, the said objection is deemed to have been allowed.
5. This issue shall be considered on merits on the next date of hearing. The Petitioner shall join the proceedings before the concerned Authority.
6. List on 12th January 2026.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

SHAIL JAIN, J.

OCTOBER 28, 2025/kk/ck