



\$~4

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 489/2024**

SATBIR (SINCE DECEASED) THR LRS AND ORS .....Appellants  
Through: Counsel for appellant (Appearance  
not given)

versus

MAYA DEVI .....Respondent

Through: None

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**ORDER**

% **02.12.2024**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

**CM APPL. 44984/2024 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**RFA 489/2024 & CM APPL. 44985/2024 (under Order XXII Rule 3 CPC), CM APPL. 44983/2024 (for additional documents) & CM APPL. 44982/2024 (stay)**

2. The appellants have assailed preliminary decree of partition. Subject to appellants taking steps within one week, notice through all modes be issued to the respondent returnable on 02.05.2025 before Registrar.

3. Learned counsel for appellants seeks stay on operation of the impugned judgment and decree on the ground that title over the subject property was not proved by the respondent in her favour. But this submission is contrary to record, especially Ex. DW1/1 (colly), recorded in para 19 of the impugned judgment. Presently I find no reason to stay the trial court proceedings.



4. Digitized record of the trial court be requisitioned for the next date of hearing.

**DECEMBER 2, 2024/as**

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)