



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TR.P.(C.) 129/2025**

SUMIT VERMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Bhaduri, Ms. Shreyangana
Bag, Advocates.

versus

NEHA VERMA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Somya, Proxy Counsel
(through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

24.11.2025

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the application of the respondent made under *Section 24* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (**HMA**) is pending before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, New Delhi District, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, the filing of the Petition under *Section 144* of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) by the respondent before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, South West District, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi, which is regarding a similar relief sought in the aforesaid application, ought to be consolidated and transferred to the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, New Delhi District, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.
2. This is a case wherein *two* different remedies dealing with *two*



different Statutes before *two* different Courts of law are involved. Therefore, merely because the parties in both the petitions are same, it cannot call for a transfer of a pending proceeding(s) as per the suitability and convenience of a party. More so, whence it is a trite law that in the present circumstances, if the order passed by one Court may/ shall have a bearing on the proceedings before another Court, then due effect/ adjustments will be given thereto, by the other Court as well.

3. At this stage, learned proxy counsel for the respondent appearing through video conferencing seeks, and is granted by way of a last opportunity, a period of *two weeks* for filing a written synopsis not exceeding *three pages*.

4. Accordingly, renotify on 27.01.2026.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2025/So