



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 3128/2024 & CM APPL. 45198/2024**

S.K. NANDAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Shalabh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

HARPAL SINGH SANDHURespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **07.08.2024**

CM APPL. 45199/2024 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM(M) 3128/2024

1. The petitioner is defendant before the learned Trial Court and is aggrieved by the manner in which the plaintiff has annexed additional documents along with his replication and the same has been permitted to be taken on record.
2. Issue notice to respondent through all permissible modes.
3. During course of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the learned Trial Court should have also given him some permission to place on record additional document, clarifying the position in context of the document which the plaintiff had filed along with the application. He states that if the aforesaid aspect is taken care of, he would not even press his present petition.
4. The petitioner would be at liberty to place on record any such proposed additional document which he wants to bring on record of the learned Trial Court in above context.
5. List on 11th November, 2024.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 7, 2024/ss