



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ C.A.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 30/2025
VIB VZW & ANR.Appellant

Through: Mr. Sanuj Das (VC), Advocate

Versus

THE CONTROLLER OF PATENTS AND DESIGNS
.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC,
Ms. Himanshi Singh, Advocates

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

% **ORDER**
08.01.2026

1. Despite directions of the Hon'ble Court passed on 01.12.2025 to the respondent to file its reply within four weeks as a measure of final opportunity, the reply has been filed today in the morning vide diary no. e-16832/2026. Hon'ble Court had clearly recorded that if the reply is not filed within 4 four weeks, cost of Rs.10,000/- shall be payable by the respondent. Ld. Counsel for respondent submits that there were pressing reasons for not being able to file the reply within four weeks and consequently, a separate application vide diary no. e-16853/2026 has also been filed for seeking condonation of delay in filing the reply alongwith the prayer for waving the imposition of cost.
2. Since the order directing the respondent to pay the cost has



been passed by the Hon'ble Court the Court of Joint Registrar has no jurisdiction to modify / recall the directions.

3. In view of the above, although the matter is scheduled before the Hon'ble Court for 29.04.2026, it is appropriate to place the matter before the Hon'ble Court on 02.02.2026, for further directions.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 8, 2026/sk