



2026:DHC:2697

\$~5 to 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1365/2016, CM APPLs. 22846/2017, 1962/2021**

VISHWAJYOTIPetitioner

versus

SSS

VIRENDER KUMAR SARDANARespondent

+ **W.P.(C) 7884/2019 and CM APPLs. 1177/2021,1209/2021**
ANJU RANIPetitioner

versus

DAYANAND ADARSH
VIDYALAYA AND ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 5053/2020 and CM APPLs. 18234/2020, 9904/2021,**
17558/2022, 50004/2024 & 75400/2024
DEEPA CHHIBBER & ORS.Petitioners

versus

DAYANAND ADARSH VIDYALAYA & ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 17353/2022**
VISHWAJYOTIPetitioner

versus

DAYANAND ADARSH VIDYALAYA & ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 227/2023 and CM APPLs. 21488/2023, 2831/2025**
RAM ROOP SHARMA AND ORS.Petitioners

versus

DAYANAND ADARSH
VIDYALAYA AND ORS.Respondents

Appearance:- Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva & Mr. Rohit Lochav,
Advocates for Petitioners in Item Nos. 5, 6 & 8. [M:-



2026:DHC:2697

9868576256]

Ms. Asha Jain Madan & Mr. Mukesh Jain, Advocates
for Petitioners in Item Nos. 7 & 9. [M:-9810041102].

Mr. Manish Gupta, Ms. Deepti Verma, Mr. Prateep
Gupta, Ms. Payal Singh & Mr. Pratyaksh Gupta,
Advocates for R-1 in Item No. 7

Mr. Hitendra Kr. Nahata & Mr. P. Chandravarshi,
Advocates for Delhi Arya Pratinidhi Sabha in Item
Nos. 5 to 9.

Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC with Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate
for DOE in Item Nos. 6 to 9.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

25.03.2025

%

1. By an order dated 23.12.2024, during the pendency of W.P.(C) 5053/2020, the respondent-School was directed to pay the salaries and emoluments of the petitioners for a period of six months as an interim arrangement, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties. The School filed an application [CM APPL. 4432/2025] for vacation of the aforesaid order, which was disposed of by an order dated 14.02.2025, with liberty to file a petition for review of the order within a specified time frame, subject to an order of costs. It was specifically directed that in the event the review petition is not filed or is unsuccessful, the School will be required to comply with the order dated 23.12.2024 before the next date of hearing before this Court.

2. A review petition was filed [Review Pet. 140/2025], which was dismissed by an order dated 10.03.2025, noting that the review petition is yet another step by the School to stall the granting of salaries to the



2026:DHC:2697

petitioners, and that too only for a period of six months. The petition was dismissed with costs of ₹25,000/-, which have not yet been paid.

3. Despite the dismissal of the review petition two weeks ago, the School has not complied with the direction in the orders dated 23.12.2024, in terms of the order dated 14.02.2025.

4. Mr. Manish Gupta, learned counsel for the School, states that a Letters Patent Appeal was filed yesterday, i.e., 24.03.2025, against the order dated 23.12.2024. It is evident that the order under challenge was passed more than three months ago, the application for vacating the said order was disposed of more than one month ago, and the review petition was also disposed of two weeks ago. It *prima facie* appears that the School is filing one proceeding after another in an attempt to postpone compliance with the order dated 23.12.2024.

5. Although an appeal has now been filed, the School ought to have complied with the aforesaid orders, or at least moved the Court for appropriate orders, including permission to deposit the amount into Court if it was not inclined to make the payment at this stage.

6. In view of the above facts, the Manager, Principal, and Chairman of the Managing Committee of the School are directed to file affidavits to show cause as to why proceedings in contempt should not be initiated against them. In the affidavit to be filed, they will also provide details of the ownership of the movable and immovable properties of the School, and of the Society, Trust, or other entity which runs the School, including the members thereof.

7. In the meanwhile, having regard to the fact that an appeal has been filed, an adjournment is granted. As the School has failed to comply with



2026:DHC:2697

the orders as aforesaid, this is subject to the payment of further costs of ₹50,000/- by the School to the petitioners in W.P.(C) 5053/2020.

8. List on 22.05.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MARCH 25, 2025

'pv/JM'/'