



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1214/2024**

NARESH KHER

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anunaya Mehta and Mr. Vidhan
Malik, Advocates.

versus

S. JAGJIT SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja and Ms. Chandrika
Gupta, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **10.12.2024**

1. The Court takes note of the orders passed by this Court on 11.03.2019 and 03.02.2022, where, in unequivocal terms, the parties were mandated not to create any third-party right and were directed to maintain the *status quo* with respect to the suit premises.
2. According to the stand taken by the respondent, S. Jagjit Singh, in his reply to the contempt petition, it is stated that on account of friendly relations, the suit property was offered on a temporary basis to one of his friends. He, therefore, contends that neither the *status quo* has been altered nor any third-party right has been created.
3. According to Mr. Rajat Aneja, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent, the suit property was temporarily allowed to be occupied by his



acquaintance and the same should not be construed to be contempt.

4. The Court is of the considered opinion that when the orders dated 11.03.2019 and 03.02.2022, in unequivocal terms, directed the parties to maintain the *status quo*, the respondent ought not to have allowed any third party to enter into the suit premises.

5. Therefore, the Court is of the *prima facie* view that the respondent has violated the interim orders passed by this Court.

6. The respondent is directed to remain personally present on the next date of hearing for framing of charges.

7. List on 15.01.2025.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

DECEMBER 10, 2024

Nc/sp