



\$~3

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

LA.APP. 21/2018

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Musarrat B. Hasmi, Advocate for  
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate

versus

TARA CHAND & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: None

**CORAM: MS. PANNA DUTTA, REGISTRAR**

**ORDER**

%

**21.10.2024**

**CM APPL. 52476/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 4 CPC) & CM APPL. 52477/2019 (delay of 305 days)**

1. Office report shows that notices served on LR Nos.(a to d) of LR No.(C) of respondent No.1 are unserved with the remarks “incomplete address”.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to move appropriate application seeking substituted service upon them.
3. Appellant has not yet taken steps for substitution of proposed LRs of deceased LR No.(B) of respondent No.1.
4. Let the same be positively be done within three weeks failing which Registry will take the same on record subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with the Secretary, Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee.
5. Re-notify on 25.03.2025.

**REGISTRAR**

**OCTOBER 21, 2024/vk**