



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 22/2018

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Musarrat B. Hasmi, Advocate for
for Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate
(9910770311)

versus

MAHA SINGH & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: None

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

04.03.2024

CM APPL. 52473/2019 (delay of 331 days)

1. This matter has been passed over once.
2. Even at the second call, none is present for the non-applicants.
3. The present application is pending since 2019.
4. Reply has not been filed by the non-applicants despite opportunities.
5. It appears that they have nothing to say in opposition to the present application.
6. Consequently, for the reasons stated in the application and the facts enumerated above, the present application is allowed and delay of 331 days is condoned in moving the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC.
7. Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 52472/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 4 CPC for substitution of proposed LRs of deceased Respondent No. 5)

8. In view of delay having been condoned, the LRs of deceased respondent No.5, as mentioned in para 2 of the application



comprising wife and two sons are brought on record.

9. Application stands disposed of.

LA.APP. 22/2018

10. Amended memo of parties be filed within two weeks.

11. List this matter before the Hon'ble Court in due course.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 4, 2024

vk