



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 635/2019 & I.A. 10762/2024**

ARUN KUMAR JAIN

.....Plaintiff

Through: **Mr. Rajat Aneja, Advocate**

versus

PADAM CHAND JAIN & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: **Mr. Rachit Gumber, Mr. Aditya Chauhan, Mr. Rishabh Jain, Ms. Radhika Bansal, Ms. Divyanshu Rathi, Advs. for D-1**
Mr. Mehendra Pratap, Adv. for D - 8B and 8C
Mr. Sanchit Gawri, Advocate for Defendants No.2 to 5, 7,8A,9,10A to 10C & 11

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

25.10.2024

%

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the Plaintiff states that he has filed reply to the application under Order XII Rule 6 CPC filed by Defendant No.1. However, the same is not on record.
2. Learned Counsel for the Plaintiff is directed to ensure that the Reply be placed on record before the next date of hearing. If the objection is only that the application has been filed with delay, then the delay stands condoned, subject to other office objections, the reply to the application under Order XII Rule 6 CPC be taken on record.



3. Learned Counsel appearing for Defendant No.1 seeks time to file a response to the reply filed by the Plaintiff.
4. Learned Counsel appearing for Defendants No.2 to 5, 7,8A,9,10A to 10C & 11 seeks some time to file reply to the application filed by Defendant No.1 under Order XII Rule 6 CPC. Let the same be filed within three weeks from today. Response thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. List on 04.03.2025.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

OCTOBER 25, 2024

RJ