



\$~58 to 63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CRL.M.C. 3952/2022

M/S PEE EMPRO EXPORTS PVT. LTD.Petitioner
Through: Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Smriti Asmita and Ms.
Anupriya Kaur, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with SI Praveen, PS: EOW
Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Ankur Khandelwal, Mr. Chirag
Sharma and Mr. Nikhil S. Advs.

59

CRL.M.C. 3956/2022

M/S PEE EMPRO EXPORTS PVT. LTD.Petitioner
Through: Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Smriti Asmita and Ms.
Anupriya Kaur, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with SI Praveen, PS: EOW
Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Ankur Khandelwal, Mr. Chirag
Sharma and Mr. Nikhil S. Advs.

60

CRL.M.C. 3960/2022

M/S PEE EMPRO EXPORTS PVT. LTD. THR AR MS CHARU
SACHDEAVPetitioner
Through: Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Smriti Asmita and Ms.
Anupriya Kaur, Advs.



- versus
STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with SI Praveen, PS: EOW
Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Ankur Khandelwal, Mr. Chirag
Sharma and Mr. Nikhil S. Advs.
Mr. J.S. Kohli, Mr. Nipun Jain,
Advs. (through VC)
- 61
CRL.M.C. 6893/2022
STATEPetitioner
Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with SI Praveen, PS: EOW
versus
MARIA RAMESHRespondent
Through: Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Ankur Khandelwal, Mr. Chirag
Sharma and Mr. Nikhil S. Advs.
Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Smriti Asmita and Ms.
Anupriya Kaur, Advs. for
Complainant
- 62
+ CRL.M.C. 6900/2022
STATEPetitioner
Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with SI Praveen, PS: EOW
versus
P K RAMESHRespondent
Through: Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Ankur Khandelwal, Mr. Chirag
Sharma and Mr. Nikhil S. Advs.
Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Smriti Asmita and Ms.
Anupriya Kaur, Advs. for
Complainant



63

CRL.M.C. 6909/2022

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with SI Praveen, PS: EOW

versus

S VENKATAKRISHNAN

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Smriti Asmita and Ms.
Anupriya Kaur, Advs. for
Complainant
Mr. J.S. Kohli, Mr. Nipun Jain,
Advs. (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

27.04.2026

CRL.M.A. 13132/2026 in CRL.M.C. 3952/2022

CRL.M.A. 13137/2026 in CRL.M.C. 3956/2022

CRL.M.A. 13136/2026 in CRL.M.C. 6893/2022

CRL.M.A. 13138/2026 in CRL.M.C. 6900/2022

1. By virtue of the present applications, the respondent no.2 seeks to place on record certain additional documents being documents dated 12.01.2026 passed by the learned NCLT, Chennai as well as the subsequent emails exchanged, showing certain communications dated 03.03.2026 and 09.03.2026.
2. Considering the present petitions involve a challenge to order dated 06.08.2022 passed by the learned Trial Court, the said documents being immaterial cannot be considered by this Court.
3. As such, the present applications are dismissed.

CRL.M.C. 3952/2022, CRL.M.A. 16385/2022 (Stay), **CRL.M.A. 24146/2023** (Early hearing)



CRL.M.C. 3956/2022 & CRL.M.A. 16393/2022 (Stay), CRL.M.C. 3960/2022 & CRL.M.A. 16424/2022 (Stay), CRL.M.A. 24086/2023 (Early hearing), CRL.M.C. 6893/2022, CRL.M.C. 6909/2022

4. Learned senior counsel for the parties seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for filing their respective (fresh) written synopsis not exceeding *five pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon.
5. Renotify on 15.07.2026.
6. Accordingly, the next date of hearing i.e. 28.04.2026 is cancelled.
7. A copy of this order be kept with the connected matters.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 27, 2026/So