



\$~15

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 719/2025

HERO INVESTCORP PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Armaan Bhardwaj, Advocate

versus

ASHOK KUMAR UNKNOWN

.....Defendant

Through: None

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

%

08.10.2025

1. The defendant could not be served either through the ordinary mode or through courier. However, as per the tracking report of the summons sent through speed post, it is stated to have been served on “Ajay”. On a specific query, Id. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has to move an application for amending the memo to implead those persons as defendants who were found to be (*allegedly*) infringing the Trade Mark of the plaintiff, in view of the report of the Id. Local Commissioner. However, he has further clarified that none of those four defendants (who are to be impleaded in view of the Local Commissioner’s report) is named “Ajay”. Consequently, plaintiff is at liberty to take fresh steps for service of the defendants once the amended memo of parties is brought on record.

2. Put up again for further proceedings on 12.01.2026.

Dr. AJAY GULATI

(DHJS),

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

OCTOBER 8, 2025/sk