



\$~31 to 33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1866/2012 & CM APPL. 21139/2019**

LATE SH VM BHUCHAR THROUGH LRPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rakesh Tikku, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Arpan Wadhawan, Advs.

versus

REGISTRAR COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES & OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta and Ms. Rashi
Aggarwal, Advs. for R1.

Ms. Shahana Farah, ASC, Mr.
Abhigyan and Ms. Reya Paul, Advs.
for DDA.

Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, SO and Mr.
Sameer Shandiya, Sr. Asst.

Mr. Rizwan and Mr. Wasil Arafat
Advs.

~32

+ **W.P.(C) 3149/2012 & CM APPL. 21137/2019, CM APPL.
18355/2022**

SANJIV JOHRY AND ANRPetitioners

Through: Mr. Ankur Sood, Ms. Romila Mandal,
Mr. Prajwal Suman and Mr. Dhaman
Trivedi, Advs.

Mr. Rizwan and Mr. Wasil Arafat
Advs.

versus

REGISTRAR, COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta and Ms. Rashi
Aggarwal, Advs. for R1.

Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, SO and Mr.
Sameer Shandiya, Sr. Asst.

~33

+ **W.P.(C) 3153/2012 & CM APPL. 18092/2022, CM APPL.
30793/2022**



JNANESWAR SEN AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankur Sood, Ms. Romila Mandal,
Mr. Prajwal Suman and Mr. Dhaman
Trivedi, Advs.

versus

REGISTRAR, CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta and Ms. Rashi
Aggarwal, Advs. for R1.
Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, SO and Mr.
Sameer Shandiya, Sr. Asst.
Mr. Rizwan and Mr. Wasil Arafat
Advs.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **18.03.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. Adjournment is sought by Mr. Tiku, Id. Senior Counsel who is stated to be in difficulty. The same is opposed by Mr. Rizwan, Id. Counsel on the ground that several adjournments have been taken in this matter despite the orders of the Supreme Court.
3. List these matters on 6th April, 2026 for hearing as item no. 1, 2 and 3 on 'top of the board'.
4. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

MADHU JAIN, J.

MARCH 18, 2026/ys/msh