



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.REV.P. 1259/2023 & CRL.M.A. 31932/2023**

PUSHPA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Adv. Brijendra Singh &
Adv. Sharmila Sabad.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP
for the State with SI Pooja
Saraswat, PS Malviya
Nagar.
Adv. Dev Dutt for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
24.01.2024

%

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even if the allegations are taken at the highest, no offence under Section 11 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 ('**POCSO Act, 2012**'), punishable under Section 12 of the POCSO Act, 2012, is made out.
2. He submits that no charge under Section 354A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 can be framed against the petitioner, who is the step-mother of the victim, as the said Section deals with sexual harassment committed by a man.
3. Let a written synopsis, not exceeding three pages, along with the judgments relied upon in support of the arguments, be filed before the next date of hearing.
4. In the meantime, the learned Trial Court is directed not to proceed further with the matter.



5. List on 25.04.2024.
6. A copy of this order be sent to the learned Trial Court.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JANUARY 24, 2024
“SK”