



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 840/2023, CC(COMM) 21/2024, I.A. 23284-3285/2023, I.A. 23378/2023, I.A. 519/2024, I.A. 815/2024, I.A. 873/2024, I.A. 978/2024, I.A. 3970-3971/2024, I.A. 6629/2024, I.A. 9066/2024, I.A. 36331/2024 & I.A. 23392/2025

**SUPER CASSETTES INDUSTRIES PRIVATE
LIMITED**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Amit Sibal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Harsh Kaushik, Mr. Darpan
Sachdeva, Ms. Srishti Mishra and Mr.
Harsh Prakash, Advocates.

versus

**RELIANCE ENTERTAINMENT STUDIOS
PRIVATE LIMITED**

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Sr. Adv, Ms.
Malvika Kapila Kalra, Ms. Tanwangi
Shukla, Mr. Junaid Aamir, Ms.
Harbani Shinh, Ms. Apoorva Jain,
Advs. for D-1
Mr. Sidharth Joshi and Ms. Sanjana,
Advs. for D-7

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

28.10.2025

I.A. 23284/2023 (under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of CPC, 1908)

I.A. 23285/2023 (under Order XXXVIII Rule 5 of CPC, 1908)

I.A. 815/2024 (application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of CPC, 1908 read with Section 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India)

1. Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, learned senior counsel for defendant no. 1 has addressed and concluded arguments in I.A. 815/2024.



1.1. He states that in light of the affidavit dated 07.12.2023, while the defendant no. 1 has acknowledged that a sum of Rs. 7.42 crores receivable from Zee Entertainment Enterprises Ltd. is payable to the plaintiff as per the terms of the loan agreement; however, he states that it is the stand of defendant no. 1 that there was no direction, to the said defendant in the order dated 19.12.2023 to make good any deficiency and/or shortfall in case Zee Entertainment Enterprises Ltd. fails to make the due payments to the plaintiff.

2. This Court has perused the order(s) dated 23.11.2023 and 19.12.2023.

3. Having heard the arguments of defendant no. 1, it is apparent to the Court that the defendant no. 1 does not dispute its liability to pay the plaintiff a sum of Rs. 7.42 crores under the loan agreement.

4. In view of the above, in addition to the issue pertaining to the application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A, this Court will also decide the issue of directing defendant no. 1 to pay the sum of Rs. 7.42 crores or the deficient amount to the plaintiff while deciding the captioned applications.

5. List tomorrow i.e., **29.10.2025** for further arguments.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

OCTOBER 28, 2025/msh/MG