



2026:DHC:1971

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

I.A. 36331/2024, I.A. 6629/2024 & I.A. 815/2024

IN

+ **CS(COMM) 840/2023**

**SUPER CASSETTES INDUSTRIES
PRIVATE LIMITED**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Amit Sibal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Harsh Kaushik, Mr. Sanjeev
Seshadari, Mr. Darpan Sachdeva &
Ms. Srishti Mishra, Advocates.

versus

**RELIANCE ENTERTAINMENT
STUDIOS PRIVATE LIMITED**

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Malvika Kapila, Ms.
Tanwangi Shukla, Ms. Adya Rajkotia
& Ms. Apoorva Singh, Advocates for
D-1.
Ms. Rimjhim Tiwari, Advocate for D-
3.
Mr. Manas Raghuvanshi, Advocate for
D-5.
Mr. Sidharth Joshi & Mr. Shubham
Tyagi, Advocates for D-7.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

**ORDER
08.04.2025**

%

1. Mr. Amit Sibal, senior counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiff points out that the last order passed by this Court, on 3rd February, 2025, directing the defendant to deposit a sum of ₹ 2.32 Crores, has not been



2026:DHC:1971

complied with by the defendant.

2. Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, senior counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant no.1 submits that on account of the fact that the defendant no.1 is facing financial constraints and its principal bank accounts have been frozen by the Income Tax and GST Authorities, the defendant no.1 could not pay the aforesaid amount.

3. Mr. Kripal further submits that the defendant no.1 is in the process of being taken over by new investors, who are expected to infuse funds into the defendant's working capital. Hence, he seeks an extension till 30th April 2025, to pay the aforesaid amount as directed by this Court *vide* order dated 3rd February, 2025.

4. In this regard, an affidavit on behalf of the defendant no.1 is stated to have been filed yesterday.

4.1. However, the same is not on record.

4.2. Counsel shall take steps to have the affidavit placed on record.

4.3. A copy of the affidavit has been shown to the Court and a copy has been handed over to the counsel for the plaintiff.

5. Mr. Sibal points out that the attachment orders in respect of the defendant no.1's bank accounts were issued prior to the order dated 3rd February, 2025 passed by this Court, and therefore, the same should have been brought to the attention of this Court on the last date of hearing itself.

6. Mr. Sibal further submits that the defendant no.1 Company is still producing movies and therefore, the defendant no.1 should explain the source of their finances to produce the movies.

7. Mr. Sibal also points out that an incorrect statement was made on the last date, which is recorded in paragraph no.7 of the order dated 3rd February



2026:DHC:1971

2025, that the defendant no.1 has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 before the High Court of Bombay.

8. In view of the aforesaid, the defendant no.1 shall file an affidavit placing on record the relevant part of the petition filed before the High Court of Bombay, along with the date of filing.

9. In the said affidavit, the defendant no.1 shall address the source of finances of the defendant no.1 for the production of the movie "RNCS". The affidavit shall provide details of all the bank accounts of the defendant no.1 company and their status i.e., whether they have been attached or not.

10. The aforesaid affidavit shall be filed within four (4) weeks, with a copy to the counsel for the plaintiff.

11. Re-notify on 19th May, 2025.

12. In the event, the aforesaid sum of ₹ 2.32 crores is not paid by the defendant no.1 to the plaintiff before the next date of hearing, contemnor no. 3/ Mr.Sachin Savla shall be present before this Court, on the next date of hearing.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 8, 2025

at