



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 836/2023**
SOUTH EAST U P POWER TRANSMISSION COMPANY
LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Shryeshth Ramesh Sharma, Mr.
Abhishek Nangia, Mr. Akash Lamba,
Mr. Mohit Gupta, Mr. Shubham
Singh, Advocates (M: 8519003427)

versus

IDBI BANK LIMITED & ANR.Defendants

Through: Mr. Praful Jindal, Advocate for D1 /
IDBI Bank (Through VC)
Mr. Ramakant Rai, Mr. Varun
Tikmani, Mr. Shivam Sharan,
Advocates for D2
Mr. Abhinav Mishra, Ms. Nivedita
Chauhan, Ms. Jagriti Dosi, Ms.
Komal Singh, Advocates for applicant
in I.A. No. 7368/2024 (M:
9007941418)

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **03.02.2025**

CS(COMM) 836/2023

1. Learned counsel for defendant no. 1 seeks some more time to file affidavit of admission / denial qua the additional documents filed by the plaintiff with the replication.
2. Hard copy of documents filed by defendant no. 2.
3. Learned counsels for plaintiff and defendant no. 1 submitted that they will file the hard copy of documents within one week.
4. Put up for completion of process of filing of Joint Document Schedule in terms of **Rule 7A of Chapter VII of The Delhi High Court (Original**



Side) Rules, 2018 physically by plaintiff after getting it signed from defendants, admission / denial of documents and marking of Exhibits in accordance with law. Documents, if e-filed be filed physically by parties so as to mark the Exhibits.

I.A. 23199/2023(u/O XXXIX R 1 & 2 CPC)

5. Pleadings are already complete.

I.A. No. 7368/2024(by applicant u/O I R 10 CPC for impleadment of M/S Isolux Corsan India Engineering & Construction Private Limited through its liquidator)

6. It is clarified by learned counsel for plaintiff and defendant no. 2 that in previous order, in third line of Paragraph No. 8, it has been recorded that ‘liberty was granted to the applicant to proceed as per law’ whereas their contention was that ‘the applicant was granted liberty to defend all the litigations mentioned in the list Annexure-C2’.

7. As it is only submission on behalf of the plaintiff and defendant no. 2, therefore, same is clarified and be read as clarified by learned counsel for plaintiff and defendant no. 2.

8. It is further clarified by learned counsel for defendant no. 2 that his submissions are only regarding maintainability whereas learned counsel for plaintiff argued both regarding maintainability as well as merit.

9. Put up for order on the date already fixed i.e. 17.02.2025.

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 3, 2025/sms

Click here to check corrigendum, if any