



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6693/2022, CRL.M.A. 26053/2022, CRL.M.A. 2625/2023, CRL.M.A. 10903/2023, CRL.M.A. 31854/2023, CRL.M.A. 1229/2024, CRL.M.A. 20014/2024, CRL.M.A. 29440/2024, CRL.M.A. 3387/2025

STARCITY REALTECH PRIVATE LIMITED THROUGH AR
MR. PUNEET SAIN & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Siddharth Bhardwaj and Mr.
Pankush Goyal, Advs.

versus

STATE GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh, Advocate with Insp. G. N.
Tiwari, Adv., PS: EOW, Delhi
Mr. Vikas Pahwa, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Yudhister Singh, Mr. Prabhav
Ralli, Mr. Shivam Tyagi, Mr. Dev
Vrat Arya and Ms. Deeya Mittal,
Advs. for R-2/ MGF
Mr. Nimish Chib, Adv. for
proposed respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
02.07.2026

%

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks an adjournment.
2. However, a perusal of the order dated 28.10.2024 reveals that



learned counsel for the petitioners made a statement to the effect that “...
...in case the final report is filed within a period of four weeks, the
petitioner would withdraw the present petition and take recourse to other
proceedings as may be available in law... ..”. In fact, a subsequent order
dated 10.11.2025 also reiterates the aforesaid once again. Moreover, the
recent order dated 29.04.2026 passed thereafter categorically records that
the present petition has become infructuous as there is nothing remaining
herein and the same is liable to be disposed of.

3. Based thereon, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks, and is
granted, a period of *two weeks* for reverting with instructions *qua*
withdrawal of the present petition.

4. As such, renotify on 20.07.2026 at 04:00 PM.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 2, 2026/So