



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 647/2019**
ITC LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Tanmaya Mehta, Mr. Rohan Swarup, Mr. Sanyam Suri and Ms. Saloni Agarwal, Advocates

versus

RAJ KUMAR MITTAL & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate for Contemnor No. 1 with Mr. Prem Chand Mittal in person through VC. Mr. J. Karan Malhotra and Mr. Mayank Rustagi, Advocates for Contemnor No. 2. with contemnor no.2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **24.09.2024**

CCP(O) 9/2020 (petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts act 1971)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent/ contemnor no.1 submits that though the cost imposed *vide* last order dated 29.08.2024 has been paid, however, he is unable to bring on record a few documents. He submits that he could not do so since he has been unwell for the past one week.
2. Learned counsel for the contemnor no.2 seeks a pass-over. Since it is already 11:32 AM, it is not possible to pass-over the matter.
3. In view of the nature of the proceedings as also the repeated lapse by the contemnors for one and the other reason.



4. It is deemed appropriate if the contemnor nos.1 and 2 are directed to file an affidavit *qua* the present status of all the products seized by the Local Commissioner as recorded in the report of the Local Commissioner dated 07.12.2019 within a period of two weeks.
5. Accordingly, renotify on 25.10.2024.
6. Needless to say, both the contemnor nos.1 and 2 shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2024/So