



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 647/2019**

ITC LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Tanmaya Mehta, Mr. Rohan
Swarup, Mr. Sanyam Suri,
Advocates

versus

RAJ KUMAR MITTAL & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate for
Contemnor No. 1 with Mr. Prem
Chand Mittal in person.
Mr. Nayan Mishra and Mr. Mayank
Rustagi, Advocates for Contemnor
No. 2. with contemnor no.2 in
person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

29.08.2024

%

CCP(O) 9/2020

1. Though this Court has heard learned counsel(s) for the petitioner/ plaintiff as well as contemnor no.1 and 2 at length, when both the learned counsel for petitioner/ plaintiff and contemnor no.2 have concluded their arguments. However, learned counsel for contemnor no.1 after commencing and carrying on with his arguments for almost an hour, seeks an adjournment on the ground that he wishes to file an application qua the annexures R-1/1 to R-1/7 filed along with the affidavit.

2. Strangely, though a passing reference to annexures R-1/1 to R-1/7 has been made in the main reply to the present CCP by the contemnor no.1 way back on 25.07.2023 as well as in the amended reply filed on



18.01.2024, none of the accompanied documents were either referred to and/ or filed along with them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner/plaintiff objects to any grant of such adjournment at this fag end of conclusion of the arguments..

4. Considering that learned counsel for the contemnor no.1 wishes to bring on record few documents, this Court deems it appropriate to give him a chance, albeit, subject to costs of Rs.50,000/- to be deposited before the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee [A/c: 15530110008386; IFSC: UCBA0001553] within a period of one week, taking overall view of the today's proceedings as well as the past conduct of the contemnor no.1.

5. Accordingly, list on 24.09.2024 for arguments.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

AUGUST 29, 2024/Ab