



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 647/2019 & I.As. 16693-16694/2019**
ITC LIMITED Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Kunal Vats and Ms. Tanya Arora,
Advocates.

versus

RAJ KUMAR MITTAL & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate for
Contemnor No. 1 with Mr. Prem
Chand Mittal in person.
Mr. Nayan Mishra and Mr. Mayank
Rustagi, Advocates for Contemnor
No. 2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

% **ORDER**
23.04.2024

CCP(O) 9/2020

1. Mr. Kunal Vats, counsel for the Plaintiff, seeks an adjournment as Mr. Sudeep Chatterjee, who is to address arguments, is indisposed. The request for adjournment is not opposed by Mr. Pavan Malik, counsel for Contemnor No. 1.
2. Contemnor No. 1 is present in person and is directed to also remain present on the next date of hearing.
3. Contemnor No. 2 is not present and Mr. Nayan Mishra, counsel for Contemnor No. 2, states that he has no instructions to explain his absence.



However, since the hearing is getting adjourned at the request of counsel for the Plaintiff, no adverse orders are being passed against Contemnor No. 2. However, he is directed to remain present on the next date of hearing.

4. Renotify on 1st August, 2024.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

APRIL 23, 2024

as