



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 6054/2019 & Crl. M.A. No. 41269/2019 (stay)

PAWAN KAUSHIK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Girish Chander, Advocate.
versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Sandeep Kumar, P.S. Alipur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

16.12.2019

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the cognizance of the offence under Section 188 IPC has been taken despite the complaint not being addressed to the concerned Magistrate. He further submits that the complaint filed by the public servant is annexed along with the charge-sheet. Learned counsel, thus, contends that the cognizance under Section 195 Cr.P.C. is bad in law.
2. Learned APP seeks some time to respond to the above submissions.
3. List on 24th February, 2020.
4. In the meantime, trial court shall fix the date after the date fixed by this Court.
5. Dasti.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 16, 2019

ga