

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2104/2010

WORKMEN THROUGH UNION RASIKA FRUIT JUICE
WORKERS Petitioner

Through: Mr. Somdutt Kaushik, Adv. for
the applicants

versus

MODERN FOOD INDUSTRIES & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sandeep Prabhakar and
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advs.
Mr. Rajesh Yadav (Legal
Representative of Mr. Sachchan
Yadav)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

% **29.10.2018**

Vide order dated 27th September, 2017, five of the workmen involved in the present case were permitted to recourse to the facilities of the Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre to have their disputes with the respondents, settled.

Consequent thereto, the disputes, between the said five workmen stand settled by the Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre. The details of the said five workmen are as under:

1. Amar Nath Srivastava, S/o Late Vindhyachal Srivastava, R/o 924/60, Lekhu Nagar, Trinagar, Delhi-35.
2. Pankaj Kumar S/o Sh.H.N. Lal R/o A-75, Block-A Vijay Vihar Phase-2, Rohini, Sector-4, Delhi-85.

3. Nandlal Ram S/o Sh. Alguram R/o J-4, Shiv Ram Park Extension Nangli, Delhi-41.
4. Sachchan Yadav S/o Sh. Ram Naresh Yadav R/o A-4/74, Sultanpuri, New Delhi-110086.
5. Shri K.M. Srivastava S/o late Y.N.L. Srivastava, R/o RZD-2B, 21 Vinodpuri, Delhi-110045.

Settlement agreement dated 12th July, 2018, stands filed in these proceedings. Para 7 of the said agreement sets out the balance amount which would be payable to the said five workmen, as per the terms of settlement. Crossed cheques for the said amounts, have been brought by learned counsel for the respondent in court and handed over to learned counsel for the said five workmen, who have expressed their satisfaction with the said amounts. Four of the workmen i.e. Mr. Amar Nath Srivastava, Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Mr. Nandlal Ram and Mr. K.M.L. Srivastava and Mr. Rajesh Yadav, legal representative of the 5th workman Mr Sachchan Yadav are present in court. They, too, have conveyed their satisfaction with the payments made by the respondent.

Accordingly, the present dispute qua the said five workmen, would stand settled, in terms of the settlement agreement dated 12th July, 2018 (supra), which shall constitute a part of this order.

The proceedings shall continue only qua the remaining workmen, who have not chosen to settle their disputes.

Rule already stands issued in this writ petition which is, therefore, directed to be listed in terms thereof.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

OCTOBER 29, 2018/kr