



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 847/2022**
MR. M.K. SRINIVASAN & ANR. ... Plaintiff

Through: Ms.Mehak Dhingra (VC),
Advocate
versus

MR. MAGESH JAYARAMAN & ORS. Defendant

Through: Mr.Tahir A.J., Advocate for D-1
& D-2.
Ms.Vishakha Saluja, Adv. for D3

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM
PATHAK (DHJS)

ORDER
24.03.2023

%

IA No. 5742/2023 Under section 151 CPC on behalf of the Defendants
for condonation of delay of 63 days for filing the written statement.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that the written statement filed by defendant no. 1 and 2 has already been taken on record on 20.03.2023. Hence, the present IA may be disposed off as infructuous.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that she has no objection, if the application is treated as infructuous as the written statement of defendant no. 1 and 2 has already been taken on record by the Hon'ble Court and the plaintiff has been directed to file the replication qua the written statement of defendant no. 1 and 2.

In view of submissions, IA stands disposed of as infructuous.

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 24, 2023/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)