



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 847/2022**

M.K. SRINIVASAN & ANR.

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Ms.Swathi Sukumar with Mr.Naveen
Nagarjuna, Ms.Ayesha Guhathakurta,
Mr.Pratyush Rao and Mr.Ritik
Raghuwanshi, Advocates.

versus

MAGESH JAYARAMAN & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Tahir A.J., Advocate for D-1 & 2.
Ms.Mani Gupta, Advocate for D-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

20.03.2023

CS(COMM) 847/2022 & I.A. 20605/2022 (O-XXXIX R-1 & 2 of CPC).

1. Written statement has been filed on behalf of the defendants no.1 and 2 and the same is taken on record.
2. Counsel appearing for the defendants no.1 and 2 submits that the written statement may also be taken as a reply to I.A. No.20605/2022 under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (CPC).
3. Counsel appearing for the plaintiffs seeks time to file replication.
4. Let the replication be filed as per the statutory time period.
5. Counsel appearing for the defendant no.3 seeks time to file written statement.
6. Let the written statement be filed as per the statutory time period.



7. List on 6th July, 2023.

I.A. 5381/2023 (O-XXXIX R-4 of CPC)

8. Issue notice.

9. Notice is accepted by the counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs.

10. Reply be filed within four weeks.

11. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed two weeks thereafter.

12. List on 6th July, 2023.

13. Interim orders to continue.

AMIT BANSAL, J.

MARCH 20, 2023

at